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# SIMPLE GROUPS OF MORLEY RANK 3 ARE ALGEBRAIC 

OLIVIER FRÉCON


#### Abstract

There exists no bad group (in the sense of Gregory Cherlin), namely any simple group of Morley rank 3 is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(K)$ for an algebraically closed field $K$.


## 1. Introduction

Model theory is a branch of mathematical logic concerned with the study of classes of mathematical structures by considering first-order sentences and formulas. There are numerous interactions between model theory and the other areas of mathematics as number theory, sometimes spectacular as Pila's work on the André-Oort Conjecture [15] or Hrushovski's proof of the function field MordellLang Conjecture [9]. In particular, the model theory of abelian groups is used in the later, and a result of Wagner on abelian groups of finite Morley rank is used in a recent paper concerning the Mordell-Lang conjecture [3].

Morley rank is a model-theoretical notion of dimension. It generalizes the dimension of an algebraic variety (when the ground field is algebraically closed). In this paper, we are concerned with groups of finite Morley rank. The main example of such a group is an algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field in the field language (Zilber, [20]). In the late seventies, Gregory Cherlin [6, §6] and Boris Zilber [20] formulated independently the following algebraicity conjecture.
Conjecture 1.1. - (Cherlin-Zilber Conjecture or Algebraicity Conjecture) An infinite simple group of finite Morley rank is algebraic over an algebraically closed field.

This is the main conjecture on groups of finite Morley rank, and it is still open. Most studies on groups of finite Morley rank focus on this conjecture.

In the 80 's, Borovik proposed to attack the Algebraicity Conjecture by transferring methods from the classification of the finite simple groups, and to analyse a minimal counter-example from its involutions. Borovik's Program has been very effective for several important classes of groups of finite Morley rank including locally finite groups [18]. Its main success is the main theorem of [1] which ensures that any simple group of finite Morley rank with an infinite abelian subgroup of exponent 2 satisfies the Cherlin-Zilber Conjecture.

However, despite of numerous papers on the subject, the Cherlin-Zilber Conjecture is still open. In this paper, we show that any simple group of Morley rank 3 is algebraic over an algebraically closed field. Due to the absence of any internal group theoretic structure allowing local analysis, one resorts to a more geometrical

[^0]analysis. We note that geometric analysis is also encountered in the Borovik program but often associated there with the geometry of involutions or other aspects of local analysis.

As a matter of fact, in [6], the Algebraicity Conjecture was formulated as a result of the local analysis of simple groups of Morley rank 3. The main result of [6] can be summarized as follows, where a bad group is a nonsolvable group of Morley rank 3 containing no definable subgroup of Morley rank 2.
Fact 1.2. - (Cherlin, [6]) Let $G$ be an infinite simple group of Morley rank at most 3. Then $G$ has Morley rank 3, and one of the following two assertions is satisfied:

- there is an algebraically closed field $K$ such that $G \simeq \operatorname{PSL}_{2}(K)$,
- $G$ is a bad group.

Thus bad groups have become a major obstacle to the Cherlin-Zilber Conjecture. These groups have been studied in $[6,13]$ and $[14]$, whose results are summarized in Facts 2.3 and 2.4 respectively. Later, it was shown that no bad group is existentially closed [11] or linear [12]. However, these groups appeared very resistant, and only sparse supplementary informations were known on them.

Furthermore, Nesin has shown in [14] that a bad group acts on a natural geometry, which is not very far from being a non-Desarguesian projective plane of Morley rank 2. However, Baldwin discovered non-Desarguesian projective planes of Morley rank 2 [2]. Thus, the question of the existence, or not, of a bad group was still fully open. In this paper, we show that bad groups do not exist.
Main Theorem 1.3. - There is no bad group (in the sense of Cherlin).
Note that other more general notions of bad groups have been introduced independently by Corredor [7] and by Borovik and Poizat [4], where a bad group is defined to be a nonsolvable connected group of finite Morley rank all of whose proper connected definable subgroups are nilpotent. Such a bad group has similar properties to original bad groups. Moreover, Jaligot introduced later a more general notion of bad groups [10], and obtained similar results. However, we recall that, in this paper, a bad group is defined as a nonsolvable group of Morley rank 3 containing no definable subgroup of Morley rank 2.

Our proof of Main Theorem goes as follows. First we note that it is sufficient to study simple bad groups since for any bad group $G$, the quotient group $G / Z(G)$ is a simple bad group by $[13, \S 4$, Introduction].

Then we fix a simple bad group $G$, and we introduce a notion of line as a coset of a Borel subgroup of $G$ (Definition 3.1). In $\S 3$, we study their behavior, mainly in regards with conjugacy classes of elements of $G$.

In $\S 4$, we propose a definition of a plane (Definition 4.1). This section is dedicated to prove that $G$ contains a plane (Theorem 4.14). This result is the key point of our demonstration. Roughly speaking, we show that for each nontrivial element $g$ of $G$ such that $g=[u, v]$ for $(u, v) \in G \times G$, the union of the preimages of $g$, by maps of the form $\operatorname{ad}_{v}: G \rightarrow G$ defined by $\operatorname{ad}_{v}(x)=[x, v]$, is almost a plane, and from this, we obtain a plane.

In last section $\S 5$, we try to show that our notions of lines and planes provide a structure of projective space over the group $G$. Indeed, such a structure would provide a division ring (see [8, p. 124, Theorem 7.15]), and probably it would be easy to conclude. However, a contradiction occurs along the way, and achieves our proof.

## The other simple groups of dimension 3.

- If $G$ is a non-bad simple group of Morley rank 3 , then $G$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(K)$ for an algebraically closed field $K$ (Fact 1.2 ). As in $\S 3$, we may define a line in $G$ to be a coset of a connected subgroup of dimension 1, and we may define a plane as in §4. It is possible to show that two sorts of planes occur: the cosets of Borel subgroups, and the subsets of the form $a J$ where $J$ is defined to be
- the set of involutions when the characteristic $c$ of $K$ is not 2 ;
- the set of involutions and the identity element when $c=2$.

The plane $J$ is normalized by $G$, and there is no such a plane in a bad group (Lemma 5.12). Another important difference between $G$ and a bad group is the presence of a Weyl group. Indeed, the first lemma of this paper is not verified in $G$ (Lemma 3.2), because we have $j T=T j$ for any torus $T$ and any involution $j \in N_{G}(T) \backslash T$.

- The group $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ is not of finite Morley rank, not even stable [13]. However, our definitions of lines and planes naturally extend to $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, as above, the set $J$ of involutions in $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ forms a plane, and the presence of a Weyl group is again a major difference between $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ and bad groups. Moreover, we note that the plane $J$ has a structure of projective plane, whereas this is false in $\mathrm{PSL}_{2}(K)$ [5, Fact 8.15].
Note: in very recent preprints [17, 19], by analyzing the present paper, Poizat and Wagner generalize our main result to other groups, and they eliminate other groups of finite Morley rank.


## 2. Background material

A thorough analysis of groups of finite Morley rank can be found in [5] and [1]. In this section we recall some definitions and known results.
2.1. Borovik-Poizat axioms. Let $\left(G, \cdot,^{-1}, 1, \cdots\right)$ be a group equipped with additional structure. This group $G$ is said to be ranked if there is a function "rk" which assigns to each nonempty definable set $S$ an integer, its "dimension" $\operatorname{rk}(S)$, and which satisfies the following axioms for every definable sets $A$ and $B$.
Definition For any integer $n$, $\operatorname{rk}(A)>n$ if and only if $A$ contains an infinite family of disjoint definable subsets $A_{i}$ of rank $n$.
Definability For any uniformly definable family $\left\{A_{b}: b \in B\right\}$ of definable sets, and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\left\{b \in B: \operatorname{rk}\left(A_{b}\right)=n\right\}$ is also definable.
Finite Bounds For any uniformly definable family $\mathfrak{F}$ of finite subsets of $A$, the sizes of the sets in $\mathfrak{F}$ are bounded.
These axioms were introduced in [16], where it is shown that the groups as above satisfy a fourth axiom, namely the additivity axiom, and they are precisely the groups of finite Morley rank. Moreover, the function rk assigns to each definable set its Morley rank. In this paper, as in [5] and [1], the Morley rank will be denoted by rk.
2.2. Morley degree. A nonempty definable set $A$ is said to have Morley degree 1 if for any definable subset $B$ of $A$, either $\operatorname{rk} B<\operatorname{rk} A$ or $\operatorname{rk}(A \backslash B)<\operatorname{rk} A$. The set $A$ is said to have Morley degree $d$ if $A$ is the disjoint union of $d$ definable sets of Morley degree 1 and Morley rank rk $A$.

Fact 2.1. -

- [5, Lemmas 4.12 and 4.14] Every nonempty definable set has a unique degree.
- [5, Proposition 4.2] Let $X$ and $Y$ be definable subsets of Morley degree d and $d^{\prime}$ respectively. Then $X \times Y$ has Morley degree $d d^{\prime}$.
- [6, §2.2] A group of finite Morley rank has Morley degree 1 if and only if it is connected, namely it has no proper definable subgroup of finite index.

Moreover, the following elementary result will be useful for us.
Fact 2.2. - Let $f: E \rightarrow F$ be a definable map. If the set $E$ has Morley degree 1 and $r=\operatorname{rk} f^{-1}(y)$ is constant for $y \in F$, then the Morley degree of $F$ is 1 .

Proof - Let $B$ be a definable subset of $F$ of Morley rank $\mathrm{rk} F$. We show that $\operatorname{rk}(F \backslash B)<\operatorname{rk} F$. By the additivity axiom, we have $\operatorname{rk} E=r+\operatorname{rk} F$ and

$$
\operatorname{rk} f^{-1}(B)=r+\operatorname{rk} B=r+\operatorname{rk} F=\operatorname{rk} E
$$

Since $E$ has Morley degree 1 , we obtain $\operatorname{rk} f^{-1}(F \backslash B)=\operatorname{rk}\left(E \backslash f^{-1}(B)\right)<\operatorname{rk} E$, and by the additivity axiom again,

$$
\operatorname{rk}(F \backslash B)=\operatorname{rk} f^{-1}(F \backslash B)-r<\operatorname{rk} E-r=\operatorname{rk} F
$$

so $F$ has Morley degree 1 .
2.3. Bad groups. The main properties of bad groups are summarized in the following facts, where a Borel subgroup of a bad group $G$ is defined to be an infinite definable proper subgroup of $G$.
Fact 2.3. - ([6, §5.2] and [13]) Let $G$ be a simple bad group, and $B$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$.
(1) $B=C_{G}(b)$ for any nontrivial element $b$ of $B$,
(2) $B$ is connected, abelian, self-normalizing and of Morley rank 1,
(3) $C_{G}(x)$ is a Borel subgroup for each nontrivial element $x$ of $G$,
(4) if $A$ is another Borel subgroup of $G$, then $A$ is conjugate with $B$, and either $A=B$ or $A \cap B=\{1\}$,
(5) $G=\bigcup_{g \in G} B^{g}$,
(6) G has no involution.

Fact 2.4. - $[14$, Lemma 18] Let $A$ and $B$ be two distinct Borel subgroups of a simple bad group $G$. Then $\operatorname{rk}(A B A)=3, \operatorname{rk}(A B)=2$, and $A B$ has Morley degree 1 .

The following result, due to Delahan and Nesin, was proved for a more general notion of bad groups, and is used in our final argument.
Fact 2.5. - [5, Proposition 13.4] A simple bad group $G$ cannot have an involutive definable automorphism.

## 3. Lines

In this paper, $G$ denotes a fixed simple bad group. We fix a Borel subgroup $B$ of $G$ and we denote by $\mathscr{B}$ the set of Borel subgroups of $G$.

In this section, we define a line of $G$, and we provide their basic properties. We note that, by conjugation of Borel subgroups (Fact 2.3 (4)), any Borel subgroup is a line in the following sense.

Definition 3.1. - A line of $G$ is a subset of the form $u B v$ for two elements $u$ and $v$ of $G$.

We denote by $\Lambda$ the set of lines of $G$.
We note that, by Fact 2.3 (2), each line has Morley rank 1 and Morley degree 1.
Lemma 3.2. - Let $u B v$ and $r B s$ be two lines. Then $u B v=r B s$ if and only if $u B=r B$ and $B v=B s$.

Proof - We may assume that $u B v=r B s$. Then we have

$$
B=u^{-1} r B s v^{-1}=u^{-1} r s v^{-1} B^{s v^{-1}}
$$

so $u^{-1} r s v^{-1} \in B^{s v^{-1}}$ and $B=B^{s v^{-1}}$. Now $s v^{-1}$ belongs to $B$ since $B$ is selfnormalizing by Fact 2.3. Hence we obtain $B v=B s$, and the equality $u B=r B$ follows from $u B v=r B s$.

By the above lemma, the set $\Lambda$ identifies with $(G / B)_{l} \times(G / B)_{r}$ where $(G / B)_{l}$ (resp. $(G / B)_{r}$ ) denotes the set of left cosets (resp. right cosets) of $B$ in $G$. Then $\Lambda$ is a definable set. Moreover, since $G$ is connected of Morley rank 3 and $B$ has Morley rank 1 , the Morley rank of $\Lambda$ is 4 and its Morley degree is 1 . In particular, $\Lambda$ is a uniformly definable family.

Lemma 3.3. - Two distinct elements $x$ and $y$ of $G$ lie in one and only one line $l(x, y)$. Moreover, the map $l:\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid x \neq y\} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is definable.

Proof - By Fact 2.3 (5), there exists $v \in G$ such that $y^{-1} x$ belongs to $B^{v}$. Then $x$ and $y$ lie in $u B v$ for $u=y v^{-1}$.

Now, if $r B s$ is a line containing $x$ and $y$, then we find two elements $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ of $B$ such that $x=r b_{1} s$ and $y=r b_{2} s$. Thus $y^{-1} x=s^{-1} b_{2}^{-1} b_{1} s$ is a nontrivial element of $B^{s}$. But $y^{-1} x$ belongs to $B^{v}$ by the choice of $v$, hence we have $B^{s}=B^{v}$ (Fact 2.3 (4)). Since $B$ is self-normalizing, $s v^{-1}$ belongs to $B$ and we obtain $B s=B v$, so there exists $b \in B$ such that $s=b v$. This implies that $u=y v^{-1}=\left(r b_{2} s\right)\left(s^{-1} b\right)=$ $r b_{2} b$ belongs to $r B$, and $r B s=u B v$ is the unique line containing $x$ and $y$.

Moreover, since $\Lambda$ is a uniformly definable family, the set $\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid x \neq$ $y\} \times \Lambda$ is definable, and

$$
\Gamma=\{((x, y), u B v) \in(G \times G) \times \Lambda \mid x \neq y, x \in u B v, y \in u B v\}
$$

is a definable subset of it. But $\Gamma$ is precisely the graph of the map $l$, hence $l$ is definable.

Lemma 3.4. - If $u B v=(u B v)^{g}$ for $u B v \in \Lambda \backslash \mathscr{B}$ and $g \in G$, then $g=1$.
Proof - We have $u B v=g^{-1} u B v g$, so $u B=g^{-1} u B$ and $B v=B v g$ by Lemma 3.2, and $g$ belongs to the Borel subgroups $B^{u^{-1}}$ and $B^{v}$. If $g$ is nontrivial, then $B^{u^{-1}}=B^{v}$ (Fact $\left.2.3(4)\right)$, and $v u$ belongs to $N_{G}(B)=B$. Consequently $u$ belongs to $v^{-1} B$, and we obtain $u B v=B^{v}$, contradicting $u B v \notin \mathscr{B}$. Thus $g=1$.

Definition 3.5. - For each $g \in G$ and each definable subset $X$ of $G$, we consider the following subsets of $\Lambda$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{L}(g, X)=\{l(g, x) \in \Lambda \mid x \in X \backslash\{g\}\} \\
\Lambda_{X}=\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \lambda \cap X \text { is infinite }\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the map $l$ is definable (Lemma 3.3), the set $\mathscr{L}(g, X)$ is definable for each $g \in G$ and each definable subset $X$ of $G$. Moreover, by Definablity axiom, the set $\Lambda_{X}=\{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \operatorname{rk}(\lambda \cap X)=1\}$ is definable too.

Lemma 3.6. - Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ be $n$ lines. Then $\lambda_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \lambda_{n}$ is a definable set of Morley rank 1 and Morley degree $n$.

Proof - For each $i$, the set $A_{i}=\lambda_{i} \cap\left(\bigcup_{j \neq i} \lambda_{j}\right)$ has at most $n-1$ elements by Lemma 3.3, and $\lambda_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \lambda_{n}$ is the disjoint union of $\lambda_{1} \backslash A_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \backslash A_{n}, \cup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$. Since each line $\lambda_{i}$ has Morley rank 1 and Morley degree 1 (Fact 2.3 (2)), the result follows.

Lemma 3.7. - If $\Lambda_{0}$ is a definable subset of $\Lambda$, then $\bigcup \Lambda_{0}$ is a definable subset of G. Moreover, if $\Lambda_{0}$ is infinite, then $\bigcup \Lambda_{0}$ has Morley rank at least 2.

Proof - Since $\Lambda_{0}$ is a definable subset of the uniformly definable family $\Lambda$, the set $\bigcup \Lambda_{0}=\left\{x \in G \mid \exists \lambda \in \Lambda_{0}, x \in \lambda\right\}$ is definable. Moreover, if $\Lambda_{0}$ is infinite, then $\bigcup \Lambda_{0}$ has Morley rank at least 2 by Lemma 3.6.

Corollary 3.8. - The subset $\bigcup \Lambda_{X}$ of $G$ is definable for each definable subset $X$ of $G$.

## 4. Planes

Our original aim, before we reached a contradiction, was to find a definable structure of projective space on our bad group $G$. In this section, we introduce a notion of planes, and we show that $G$ has such a plane (Theorem 4.14). We fix a definable subset $X$ of $G$, of Morley rank 2 .

Definition 4.1. - The definable subset $X$ of $G$ is said to be a plane if it satisfies $\widetilde{X}=X$ where

$$
\widetilde{X}=\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{L}(g, X))=1\}
$$

Lemma 4.2. - The set $\widetilde{X}$ is a definable subset of $\bigcup \Lambda_{X}$.
Proof - If $g \in G$ does not belong to $\bigcup \Lambda_{X}$, then $l(g, x) \cap X$ is finite for each $x \in X$, and since $X$ has Morley rank 2, the set $\mathscr{L}(g, X)$ has Morley rank 2, so $g \notin \widetilde{X}$. Thus $\widetilde{X}$ is contained in $\bigcup \Lambda_{X}$.

We show that $\tilde{X}$ is definable. We consider the set

$$
A=\{(g, \lambda) \in G \times \Lambda \mid g \in \lambda, \exists x \in X \backslash\{g\}, x \in \lambda\}
$$

and the map $f: A \rightarrow G$ defined by $f(g, \lambda)=g$. We note that, since $\Lambda$ is a uniformly definable family, $A$ is definable, and $f$ is definable too. Moreover, the preimage by $f$ of each $g \in G$ is $f^{-1}(g)=\{g\} \times \mathscr{L}(g, X)$, and we have $\operatorname{rk}\left(f^{-1}(g)\right)=\operatorname{rk} \mathscr{L}(g, X)$. Consequently, we obtain $\widetilde{X}=\left\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{rk}\left(f^{-1}(g)\right)=1\right\}$, and $\widetilde{X}$ is definable.

Lemma 4.3. - The Morley ranks of $\Lambda_{X}$ and of $\bigcup \Lambda_{X}$ are at most 2. Moreover, $\Lambda_{X}$ is infinite if and only if $\operatorname{rk} \bigcup \Lambda_{X}=2$.

Proof - We consider the surjective definable map

$$
l_{0}:(X \times X) \cap l^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{X}\right) \rightarrow \Lambda_{X}
$$

defined by $l_{0}(x, y)=l(x, y)$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{X}$, we have $l_{0}^{-1}(\lambda)=\{(x, y) \in(\lambda \cap X) \times$ $(\lambda \cap X) \mid x \neq y\}$, and since $\operatorname{rk} \lambda=1$, we obtain $\operatorname{rk}(\lambda \cap X)=1$ and $\operatorname{rk} l_{0}^{-1}(\lambda)=2$. But we have

$$
\operatorname{rk}\left((X \times X) \cap l^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{X}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{rk}(X \times X)=2 \operatorname{rk} X=4
$$

hence $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X}$ is at most $4-2=2$.
We show that $\operatorname{rk} \bigcup \Lambda_{X} \leq 2$. We consider the definable set

$$
A=\left\{(x, \lambda) \in G \times \Lambda_{X} \mid x \in \lambda \backslash X\right\}
$$

and the definable map $l_{1}: A \rightarrow \Lambda_{X}$ defined by $l_{1}(x, \lambda)=\lambda$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{X}$, we have $\operatorname{rk} \lambda=1=\operatorname{rk}(\lambda \cap X)$, so, since each line has Morley degree 1, the preimage $l_{1}^{-1}(\lambda)$ is finite. Consequently we obtain $\operatorname{rk} A \leq \operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X} \leq 2$. But the definable map $l_{2}: A \rightarrow\left(\bigcup \Lambda_{X}\right) \backslash X$, defined by $l_{2}(x, \lambda)=x$, is surjective, hence the Morley rank of $\left(\bigcup \Lambda_{X}\right) \backslash X$ is at most rk $A \leq 2$. Since $X$ has Morley rank 2, we obtain $\mathrm{rk} \bigcup \Lambda_{X} \leq 2$.

Now it follows from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 that $\Lambda_{X}$ is infinite if and only if $\operatorname{rk} \bigcup \Lambda_{X}=2$.
Proposition 4.4. - For each $g \in \tilde{X}$, we have $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)=1$.
Moreover, if $X$ has Morley degree 1, then $\widetilde{X}=\left\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)=1\right\}$ and $G \backslash \widetilde{X}=\left\{g \in G \mid \mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right.$ is finite $\}$.

Proof - First we note that $\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}=\mathscr{L}(g, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}$ for any $g \in G$. For each $g \in G$, we consider the definable map $l_{g}: X \backslash\{g\} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(g, X)$ defined by $l_{g}(x)=l(g, x)$. In particular, the preimage $l_{g}^{-1}(\lambda)$ of each $\lambda \in \mathscr{L}(g, X)$ is $(\lambda \cap X) \backslash\{g\}$.

We show that $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right) \leq 1$ for each $g \in G$. We may assume that $\Lambda_{X}$ is infinite. Then, by Lemma 4.3, the set $\cup \Lambda_{X}$ has Morley rank 2. Let $g \in G$ and $u_{g}: \cup\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right) \backslash\{g\} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}$ be the map defined by $u_{g}(x)=l(g, x)$. Since each line has Morley rank 1, the preimage of each element of $\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}$ has Morley rank 1. Consequently, we have

$$
\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)=\operatorname{rk} \cup\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)-1 \leq \operatorname{rk} \cup \Lambda_{X}-1=1
$$

Let $g \in \widetilde{X}$. We show that $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)=1$. For each $\lambda \in \mathscr{L}(g, X) \backslash \Lambda_{X}$, the set $l_{g}^{-1}(\lambda)=(\lambda \cap X) \backslash\{g\}$ is finite, and since $g \in \widetilde{X}$, we have rk $\mathscr{L}(g, X)=1$. Consequently, $l_{g}^{-1}\left(\mathscr{L}(g, X) \backslash \Lambda_{X}\right)$ has Morley rank at most 1 , and $l_{g}^{-1}\left(\mathscr{L}(g, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)$ has Morley rank $\operatorname{rk} X=2$. But the set $l_{g}^{-1}(\lambda)=(\lambda \cap X) \backslash\{g\}$ is infinite of Morley rank 1 for each $\lambda \in \mathscr{L}(g, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}$. Hence we obtain $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)=2-1=1$.

Now we assume that $X$ has Morley degree 1. Let $g \in G$ such that $\operatorname{rk}(\mathscr{L}(g, X) \cap$ $\left.\Lambda_{X}\right)=1$. We show that $g \in \widetilde{X}$. Since the set $l_{g}^{-1}(\lambda)=(\lambda \cap X) \backslash\{g\}$ is infinite of Morley rank 1 for each $\lambda \in \mathscr{L}(g, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}$, the set $l_{g}^{-1}\left(\mathscr{L}(g, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)$ has Morley rank

$$
1+\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)=2=\operatorname{rk} X
$$

Then, since $X$ has Morley degree 1, the preimage of $\mathscr{L}(g, X) \backslash \Lambda_{X}$ has Morley rank at most 1. Moreover, for each $\lambda \in \mathscr{L}(g, X) \backslash \Lambda_{X}$, the preimage $l_{g}^{-1}(\lambda)=(\lambda \cap X) \backslash\{g\}$ is finite and non-empty, so we obtain

$$
\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g, X) \backslash \Lambda_{X}\right)=\operatorname{rk} l_{g}^{-1}\left(\mathscr{L}(g, X) \backslash \Lambda_{X}\right) \leq 1
$$

This shows that $\mathrm{rk} \mathscr{L}(g, X)=1$ and $g \in \widetilde{X}$.

Furthermore, since $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right) \leq 1$ for each $g \in G$, we obtain $G \backslash \widetilde{X}=$ $\left\{g \in G \mid \mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right.$ is finite $\}$, as desired.
Corollary 4.5. - We have $\operatorname{rk}(\widetilde{X} \backslash X) \leq 1$.
Proof - We remember that $\widetilde{X}$ is definable by Lemma 4.2, so the sets $Y=\widetilde{X} \backslash X$ and $A=\left\{(y, \lambda) \in Y \times \Lambda_{X} \mid y \in \lambda\right\}$ are definable too. Let $l_{Y}: A \rightarrow Y$ and $l_{D}: A \rightarrow \Lambda_{X}$ be the definable maps defined by $l_{Y}(y, \lambda)=y$ and $l_{D}(y, \lambda)=\lambda$ respectively. On the one hand, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{X}$, the set $\lambda \cap X$ is infinite, and since $\lambda$ has Morley rank 1 and Morley degree 1 (Fact 2.3 (2)), the set $\lambda \cap Y$ is finite and $l_{D}^{-1}(\lambda)$ has Morley rank at most 0 . This implies $\mathrm{rk} A \leq \operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X} \leq 2$ (Lemma 4.3). On the other hand, for each $y \in Y$, we have $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(y) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)=1$ by Proposition 4.4, so $l_{Y}^{-1}(y)$ has Morley rank 1, and we obtain $\operatorname{rk} A=1+\operatorname{rk} Y$. Consequently, the Morley rank of $Y$ is at most 1.

Lemma 4.6. - For each $g \in G$, the set $\mathscr{L}(g, X)$ is infinite.
Proof - Indeed, $\cup \mathscr{L}(g, X)$ is definable (Lemma 3.7) and contains $X$. Since $\operatorname{rk} X=2$, we obtain $\operatorname{rk}(\cup \mathscr{L}(g, X)) \geq 2$, and $\mathscr{L}(g, X)$ is infinite (Lemma 3.6).
Corollary 4.7. - If the Morley degree of $X$ is not 1 , then $\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{X}<2$. In particular, any plane has Morley degree 1.

Proof - Let $n$ be the Morley degree of $X$, and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be $n$ definable subsets of $X$ of Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1 such that $X$ is the disjoint union of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. For each $g \in \widetilde{X}$, we have $\operatorname{rk} \mathscr{L}(g, X)=1$, so we obtain rk $\mathscr{L}\left(g, X_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for each $i$, and $g \in \widetilde{X}_{i}$ for each $i$ by Lemma 4.6. Thus $\widetilde{X}$ is contained in $\widetilde{X_{1}} \cap \widetilde{X_{2}}$. Since $X_{1} \cap X_{2}=\emptyset$, the set $\widetilde{X}$ is contained in $\left(X_{1} \cap Y_{2}\right) \cup\left(Y_{1} \cap X_{2}\right) \cup\left(Y_{1} \cap Y_{2}\right)$ where $Y_{1}=\widetilde{X_{1}} \backslash X_{1}$ and $Y_{2}=\widetilde{X_{2}} \backslash X_{2}$. Since $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ have Morley rank at most 1 by Corollary 4.5, we obtain rk $\widetilde{X}<2$.

Lemma 4.8. - We assume that $X$ has Morley degree 1, and that $Y$ is another definable subset of $G$ of Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1. If $X \cap Y$ has Morley rank 2, then $\widetilde{X}=\widetilde{Y}$.

Proof - Let $g \in G$. If $g$ belongs to $\widetilde{X \cap Y}$, then we have $\operatorname{rk} \mathscr{L}(g, X \cap Y)=1$. Since $X$ has Morley degree 1 and $X \cap Y$ has Morley rank 2, the set $X \backslash Y$ has Morley rank at most 1, and the set $\mathscr{L}(g, X \backslash Y)$ has Morley rank at most 1. Thus $\mathscr{L}(g, X)$ has Morley rank 1 , and $g$ belongs to $\widetilde{X}$.

Conversely, if $g \in \widetilde{X}$, then $\mathscr{L}(g, X)$ has Morley rank 1 , so $\mathscr{L}(g, X \cap Y) \subseteq \mathscr{L}(g, X)$ has Morley rank at most 1. Then Lemma 4.6 gives $g \in \widetilde{X \cap Y}$. This shows that $\widetilde{X \cap Y}=\widetilde{X}$. By the same way, we obtain $\widetilde{X \cap Y}=\widetilde{Y}$, so $\widetilde{X}=\widetilde{Y}$.

For each $a \in G$, let $\mathscr{L}(a)=\mathscr{L}(a, G)$ be the (definable) set of lines containing $a$. Moreover, we note that $\mathscr{L}(1)=\mathscr{B}$.

Lemma 4.9. - Let $\Lambda_{0}$ be a definable subset of $\Lambda$. If $\mathrm{rk} \cup \Lambda_{0}=2$, then we have $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}\right) \leq 1$ for each $g \in G$.

Moreover, if further $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{0}=2$, then the set $\left\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}\right)=1\right\}$ has Morley rank 2.

Proof - We show that $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}\right) \leq 1$ for each $g \in G$. Let $g \in G$ and $l_{g}: \cup\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}\right) \backslash\{g\} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}$ be the map defined by $l_{g}(x)=l(g, x)$. Since
each line has Morley rank 1, the preimage of each element of $\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}$ has Morley rank 1. Consequently, we have

$$
\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}\right)=\operatorname{rk} \cup\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}\right)-1 \leq \mathrm{rk} \cup \Lambda_{0}-1=1
$$

as desired.
We suppose further that rk $\Lambda_{0}=2$, and we show that $\left\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}\right)=\right.$ 1\} has Morley rank 2. Let $U=\cup \Lambda_{0}, A=\left\{(u, \lambda) \in U \times \Lambda_{0} \mid u \in \lambda\right\}$ and $f: A \rightarrow \Lambda_{0}$ be the map defined by $f(u, \lambda)=\lambda$. Then $A$ and $f$ are definable, and the preimage $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ of each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{0}$ has Morley rank $\operatorname{rk} \lambda=1$, so $\operatorname{rk} A=1+\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{0}=3$. Now let $h: A \rightarrow U$ be the map defined by $h(u, \lambda)=u$. It is a definable map, and the preimage $h^{-1}(u)$ of each $u \in U$ has Morley rank either 0 , or 1 by the previous paragraph.

But the preimage of $U_{0}=\left\{u \in U \mid \operatorname{rk} h^{-1}(u)=0\right\}$ has Morley rank

$$
\operatorname{rk} h^{-1}\left(U_{0}\right)=\operatorname{rk} U_{0} \leq \operatorname{rk} U=2<\operatorname{rk} A
$$

so the preimage of $U_{1}=\left\{u \in U \mid \operatorname{rk} h^{-1}(u)=1\right\}$ has Morley rank 3. Hence we obtain $\operatorname{rk} U_{1}=3-1=2$. Moreover, we note that

$$
U_{1}=\left\{u \in U \mid \operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(u) \cap \Lambda_{0}\right)=1\right\}=\left\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}\right)=1\right\}
$$

so $\left\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{0}\right)=1\right\}$ has Morley rank 2 .
Proposition 4.10. - Let $X$ be a definable subset of $G$ of Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1. Then $\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{X}=2$ if and only if $\Lambda_{X}$ has Morley rank 2.

In this case, $\Lambda_{X}$ and $\widetilde{X}$ have Morley degree 1, and $\widetilde{X}$ contains a generic definable subset of $X$.

Proof - We consider the definable set $A=\left\{(x, \lambda) \in \widetilde{X} \times \Lambda_{X} \mid x \in \lambda\right\}$ and the definable maps $l_{1}: A \rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ and $l_{2}: A \rightarrow \Lambda_{X}$ defined by $l_{1}(x, \lambda)=x$ and $l_{2}(x, \lambda)=\lambda$ respectively. By Proposition 4.4, the preimage $l_{1}^{-1}(g)$ of each element $g$ of $\widetilde{X}$ has Morley rank 1 , so $\operatorname{rk} A=1+\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{X}$. Moreover, the preimage $l_{2}^{-1}(\lambda)$ of each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{X}$ has Morley rank at most 1 , so $\operatorname{rk} A \leq 1+\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X}$. Then we obtain $\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{X} \leq \operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X}$. In particular, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that if $\operatorname{rk} \widetilde{X}=2$, then $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X}=2$. Hence we may assume that rk $\Lambda_{X}=2$.

At this stage, Lemma 4.3 gives rk $\cup \Lambda_{X}=2$, and by Lemma 4.9 and Proposition 4.4, we obtain rk $\widetilde{X}=2$. Moreover, it follows from Corollary 4.5 that $\widetilde{X}$ has Morley degree 1 and that $X \cap \widetilde{X}$ is a generic definable subset of $X$ contained in $\widetilde{X}$.

We show that the Morley degree of $\Lambda_{X}$ is 1 . Let $l_{0}:\{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \neq y\} \rightarrow \Lambda$ be the definable map defined by $l_{0}(x, y)=l(x, y)$. Since the Morley degree of $X$ is 1 , the one of $\{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \neq y\}$ is 1 too. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{X}$, we have $\operatorname{rk} l_{0}^{-1}(\lambda)=\operatorname{rk}((\lambda \cap X) \times(\lambda \cap X))=2$. Since $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X}=2$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{rk} l_{0}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{X}\right)=2+\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X}=4=\operatorname{rk}\{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \neq y\}
$$

and since the Morley degree of $\{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \neq y\}$ is 1 , the Morley degree of $l_{0}^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{X}\right)$ is 1 too. Now the Morley degree of $\Lambda_{X}$ is 1 by Fact 2.2.
Lemma 4.11. - Let $g$ be a nontrivial element such that $g=[u, v]$ for $(u, v) \in G \times G$. Then we have $\{x \in G \mid[x, v]=g\}=C_{G}(v) u$ and $\{y \in G \mid[u, y]=g\}=C_{G}(u) v$. In particular, they are two lines and have Morley rank 1 and Morley degree 1.

Proof - The equalities are obvious. Moreover, by Fact 2.3, the sets $C_{G}(v) u$ and $C_{G}(u) v$ are two lines, and they have Morley rank 1 and Morley degree 1.

Lemma 4.12. - For each $a \in G$, the set $a^{G} \cap B$ has exactly one element.
Proof - We may assume $a \neq 1$. By Fact 2.3 (5), there is $g \in G$ such that $a^{g}$ belongs to $B$. If $a^{h} \in B$ for $h \in G$, then $a$ is a nontrivial element of $B^{g^{-1}} \cap B^{h^{-1}}$. By Fact 2.3 (4), we obtain $B^{g^{-1}}=B^{h^{-1}}$, and $h^{-1} g$ belongs to $N_{G}(B)=B$. But $B$ is abelian (Fact $2.3(2)$ ), so $h^{-1} g$ centralizes $a^{h}$, and $a^{h}=\left(a^{h}\right)^{h^{-1} g}=a^{g}$. Hence $a^{G} \cap B=\left\{a^{g}\right\}$.

The following result isolates a step of the proof of Theorem 4.14. Its proof and the one of Theorem 4.14 were originally a lot more complicated, and Bruno Poizat provided a simplification.

For each $g \in G$, we consider the following definable subset of $G$ :

$$
X(g)=\{x \in G \mid \exists y \in G,[x, y]=g\}
$$

Proposition 4.13. - For each nontrivial element $g$ of $G$, the set $X(g)$ has Morley rank at most 2.

Proof - We assume toward a contradiction that $X(g)$ has Morley rank 3. Then the Morley rank of $X\left(g^{z}\right)$ is 3 for each $z \in G$. We recall that, by Fact 2.3, the conjugacy class $g^{G}$ of $g$ has Morley rank $\operatorname{rk} g^{G}=\operatorname{rk} G-\operatorname{rk} C_{G}(g)=2$

We consider $V=\left\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid[x, y] \in g^{G}\right\}$ and the definable surjective map $f: V \rightarrow g^{G}$ defined by $f(x, y)=[x, y]$. For each $z \in G$, we have

$$
f^{-1}\left(g^{z}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in G \times G \mid[x, y]=g^{z}\right\}
$$

and by Lemma 4.11, this set has Morley rank rk $f^{-1}\left(g^{z}\right)=\operatorname{rk} X\left(g^{z}\right)+1=3+1=4$, so $\operatorname{rk} V=4+\operatorname{rk} g^{G}=6$. Since $G \times G$ is a connected group of Morley rank 6 , the set $V$ is a definable generic subset of $G \times G$, and there is $(x, y) \in V$ such that $(y, x)$ belongs to $V$. Thus $[x, y] \in g^{G}$ and its inverse $[y, x] \in g^{G}$ are conjugate, and they are equal by Lemma 4.12, contradicting that $G$ has no involution (Fact 2.3 (6)).

Theorem 4.14. - There is a plane in $G$.
Proof - It is sufficient to show that there is a definable subset $X$ of $G$ satisfying the following properties:
(1) its Morley rank is 2 and its Morley degree is 1 ,
(2) $\Lambda_{X}$ has Morley rank 2.

Indeed, by Proposition 4.10, for such a subset $X$, the set $\widetilde{X}$ has Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1, and it contains a generic definable subset of $X$. At this stage, Lemma 4.8 shows that $Y=\widetilde{X}$ is a plane.

We fix a nontrivial element $g$ such that $g=[u, v]$ for $(u, v) \in G \times G$.

1. For each $x \in X(g)$, there are infinitely many lines containing $x$ and contained in $X(g)$.

Since $x$ belongs to $X(g)$, there is $y \in G$ such that $[x, y]=g$. We note that, since $g$ is nontrivial, $x$ and $y$ are nontrivial and we have $C_{G}(x) \neq C_{G}(y)$. In particular, $C_{G}(x) y$ is a line, and it does not contain 1. Thus, for each $c \in C_{G}(x)$, the set $l_{c}=C_{G}(c y) x$ is a line, and by Lemma 4.11, we have $[r, c y]=[x, c y]=[x, y]=g$ for each $r \in l_{c}$. So $l_{c}$ is a line containing $x$ and contained in $X(g)$.

If $l_{c}=l_{d}$ for two elements $c$ and $d$ of $C_{G}(x)$, then we have $C_{G}(c y)=C_{G}(d y)$, and $C_{G}(c y)$ is a line containing $c y$ and $d y$. But $C_{G}(x) y$ is another line containing
$c y$ and $d y$, and we have $C_{G}(x) y \neq C_{G}(c y)$ because $C_{G}(x) y$ does not contain 1. Hence Lemma 3.3 gives $c=d$, and $\left\{l_{c} \in \Lambda \mid c \in C_{G}(x)\right\}$ is an infinite family of lines containing $x$ and contained in $X(g)$.
2. $\operatorname{rk} X(g)=2$.

By 1., the set $X(g)$ contains infinitely many lines, so it has Morley rank at least 2 (Lemma 3.6), and by Proposition 4.13, it has Morley rank 2.

## 3. $\mathrm{rk} \Lambda_{X(g)}=2$.

By Lemma 4.3, the set $\Lambda_{X(g)}$ has Morley rank at most 2. Since $X(g)$ is infinite by 2 ., for each positive integer $n$ we can find $n$ distinct elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ in $X(g)$. By 1., the set $\Lambda_{i}=\left\{\lambda \in \Lambda_{X(g)} \mid x_{i} \in \lambda\right\}$ is infinite for each $i$. We may assume that its Morley rank is 1 for each $i$. Then, since there are finitely many lines containing two distinct elements among $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ (Lemma 3.3), the union $\cup_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i}$ has Morley rank 1 and Morley degree at least $n$. This implies that $\Lambda_{X(g)}$ does not have Morley rank 1, so rk $\Lambda_{X(g)}=2$.

## 4. Conclusion.

By 2., the set $X(g)$ has Morley rank 2. Let $d$ be its Morley degree. Then $X(g)$ is the disjoint union of definable subsets $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{d}$ of Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1.

For each element $\lambda$ of $\Lambda_{X(g)}$, since $\lambda \cap X(g)$ is infinite and since $\lambda$ has Morley rank 1 and Morley degree 1 , there is a unique $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $\lambda \cap X_{i}$ is infinite, that is $\lambda \in \Lambda_{X_{i}}$. Thus, each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{X(g)}$ belongs to a unique definable set $\Lambda_{X_{i}}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$. Hence $\Lambda_{X(g)}$ is the disjoint union of $\Lambda_{X_{1}}, \ldots, \Lambda_{X_{d}}$, and there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X_{i}}=2$. Now the set $X_{i}$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of the beginning of our proof, so $\widetilde{X}_{i}$ is a plane.

## 5. A Projective space?

In this section, we analyze planes. We remember that, by Theorem 4.14, the group $G$ has a plane, and that by Corollary 4.7, any plane has Morley degree 1. The initial goal of this section was to show that, if $X$ and $Y$ are two distinct planes, then $\Lambda_{X} \cap \Lambda_{Y}$ has a unique element. However, along the way, we obtain our final contradiction.

Definition 5.1. - For each line $\lambda$, we consider the following subset of $\Lambda$ :

$$
\mathscr{L}(\lambda)=\{m \in \Lambda \mid \lambda \cap m \text { is not empty }\}
$$

Lemma 5.2. - For any line $\lambda$, the set $\mathscr{L}(\lambda)$ is definable, it has Morley rank 3 and Morley degree 1.

Proof - We consider the definable map $f: \lambda \times(G \backslash \lambda) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(\lambda) \backslash\{\lambda\}$ defined by $f(x, g)=l(x, g)$. By Lemma 3.3, for each $m \in \mathscr{L}(\lambda) \backslash\{\lambda\}$, there is a unique element $x$ in $\lambda \cap m$. Moreover, for any $g \in G \backslash \lambda$, we have $f(x, g)=m$ if and only if $g \in m \backslash\{x\}$. Consequently we have $\operatorname{rk} f^{-1}(m)=\operatorname{rk} m=1$, and

$$
\operatorname{rk} \mathscr{L}(\lambda)=\operatorname{rk}(\lambda \times(G \backslash \lambda))-1=3
$$

Furthermore, since $\lambda$ and $G$ have Morley degree 1, the Morley degree of $\lambda \times G$ and $\lambda \times(G \backslash \lambda)$ is 1 , and the Morley degree of $\mathscr{L}(\lambda) \backslash\{\lambda\}$ and $\mathscr{L}(\lambda)$ is 1 too (Fact 2.2).

Lemma 5.3. - Let $X$ be a plane, and $\lambda \in \Lambda_{X}$. Then $\mathscr{L}(\lambda) \cap \Lambda_{X}$ has Morley rank 2.

Proof - Since $\lambda$ belongs to $\Lambda_{X}$, the set $\lambda \cap X$ is infinite, and since $\lambda$ is a line, we have $\operatorname{rk}(\lambda \cap X)=1$. We consider the definable set

$$
\mathscr{A}=\left\{(x, m) \in(\lambda \cap X) \times \Lambda_{X} \mid m \neq \lambda, x \in m\right\}
$$

and the definable maps $p: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \lambda \cap X$ and $q: \mathscr{A} \rightarrow \Lambda_{X}$ defined by $p(x, m)=x$ and $q(x, m)=m$ respectively. By Proposition 4.4, the set $p^{-1}(x)$ has Morley rank 1 for each $x \in \lambda \cap X$, so $\mathrm{rk} \mathscr{A}=1+\operatorname{rk}(\lambda \cap X)=2$.

Moreover, each $m \in \Lambda_{X} \backslash\{\lambda\}$ contains at most one element of $\lambda$ (Lemma 3.3), so $q$ is an injective map and its image has Morley rank $\operatorname{rk} \mathscr{A}=2$. But the image of $q$ is contained in $\left(\mathscr{L}(\lambda) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right) \backslash\{\lambda\}$, and we have $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X} \leq 2$ (Lemma 4.3), hence $\mathscr{L}(\lambda) \cap \Lambda_{X}$ has Morley rank 2.

Lemma 5.4. - Let $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ be two distinct lines. Then $\mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ has Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1.

Proof - Let $A=\left\{(x, y) \in \lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2} \mid x \notin \lambda_{1}, y \notin \lambda_{2}\right\}$, and let $f: A \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right) \backslash\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}$ be the map defined by $f(x, y)=l(x, y)$. This map is definable and bijective by Lemma 3.3. Since $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are two lines, the sets $\lambda_{1} \times \lambda_{2}$ and $A$ have Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1 , and since $f$ is a definable bijection, $\mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ has Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1 .

Proposition 5.5. - If $X$ and $Y$ are two distinct planes, then $\Lambda_{X} \cap \Lambda_{Y}$ has at most one element.

Proof - Suppose toward a contradiction that $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ are two distinct elements of $\Lambda_{X} \cap \Lambda_{Y}$. By Lemma 5.3, the sets $\mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \cap \Lambda_{X}$ and $\mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \cap \Lambda_{X}$ have Morley rank 2. But $\Lambda_{X}$ has Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1 by Proposition 4.10, hence $\mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \cap \Lambda_{X}$ has Morley rank 2 . By the same way, $\mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \cap \Lambda_{Y}$ has Morley rank 2. Thus, since $\mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{L}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ has Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1 (Lemma 5.4), the set $\Lambda_{X} \cap \Lambda_{Y}$ has Morley rank 2.

Since $\Lambda_{X} \cap \Lambda_{Y}$ is infinite, the set $U=\cup\left(\Lambda_{X} \cap \Lambda_{Y}\right)$ has Morley rank at least 2 by Lemma 3.7, and since $U$ is contained in $\cup \Lambda_{X}$, its Morley rank is exactly 2 (Lemma 4.3). Now the set $Z=\left\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(g) \cap \Lambda_{X} \cap \Lambda_{Y}\right)=1\right\}$ has Morley rank 2 by Lemma 4.9. But Proposition 4.4 says that $Z$ is contained in $X \cap Y$, hence $X \cap Y$ has Morley rank 2 and Lemma 4.8 gives $X=Y$, a contradiction.

From now on, we try to show that the set $\Lambda_{X} \cap \Lambda_{Y}$ has exactly one element. However, the final contradiction will appear earlier.
Corollary 5.6. - Let $X$ be a plane and $(a, b) \in G \times G$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- $a X b=X$
- $a \Lambda_{X} b=\Lambda_{X}$
- $a X b \cap X$ has Morley rank 2.

Proof - We note that $a X b$ is a plane, and that $a \Lambda_{X} b=\Lambda_{a X b}$. If $a X b \cap X$ has Morley rank 2, then $a X b=X$ by Lemma 4.8, and if $a X b=X$, then we have $a \Lambda_{X} b=\Lambda_{a X b}=\Lambda_{X}$. Moreover, if $a \Lambda_{X} b=\Lambda_{X}$, then we have $\Lambda_{a X b}=\Lambda_{X}$ and $a X b=X$ by Proposition 5.5, so $a X b \cap X=X$ has Morley rank 2 .

By Fact 2.4, if $A$ is a Borel subgroup distinct from $B$, $\operatorname{then} \operatorname{rk}(A B A)=3$. The following result is slightly more general, and its proof is different.

We recall that, if a group $H$ of finite Morley rank acts definably on a set $E$, then the stabilizer of any definable subset $F$ of $E$ is defined to be

$$
\operatorname{Stab} F=\{h \in H \mid \operatorname{rk}((h \cdot F) \Delta F)<\operatorname{rk}(F)\}
$$

where $\Delta$ stands for the symmetric difference. It is a definable subgroup of $H$ by [5, Lemma 5.11].

Lemma 5.7. - Let $A$ and $C$ be two Borel subgroups distinct from B. Then $\operatorname{rk}(A B C)=3$.

Proof - We consider the action of $G$ on itself by left multiplication. Then we have $b \cdot B C=B C$ for each $b \in B$, so $B$ is contained in $\operatorname{Stab}(B C)$.

We assume toward a contradiction that $C$ is contained in $\operatorname{Stab}(B C)$. Since $B C$ has Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1 (Fact 2.4), we have $\operatorname{rk}(c B C \backslash B C) \leq 1$ for each $c \in C$, and since $\operatorname{rk} C=1$, we obtain $\operatorname{rk}(C B C \backslash B C) \leq 2$ and $\operatorname{rk}(C B C)=2$, contradicting Fact 2.4. Consequently, $C$ is not contained in $\operatorname{Stab}(B C)$, and since $\operatorname{Stab}(B C)$ contains $B$, Fact 2.3 implies that $\operatorname{Stab}(B C)=B$.

We assume toward a contradiction that $\operatorname{rk}(A B C) \neq 3$. Since $\operatorname{rk}(B C)=2$, we have $\operatorname{rk}(A B C)=2$ and $A B C$ is a disjoint union of finitely many definable subsets $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{k}$ of Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1. For each $a \in A$, the set $a B C$ has Morley rank $\operatorname{rk}(B C)=2$ and Morley degree 1, so there exists a unique $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $\operatorname{rk}\left(a B C \cap E_{i}\right)=2$. Since $A$ is infinite, there are $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and two distinct elements $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ of $A$ such that $\operatorname{rk}\left(a B C \cap E_{i}\right)=$ $\operatorname{rk}\left(a^{\prime} B C \cap E_{i}\right)=2$. Since $E_{i}$ has Morley degree 1, the Morley rank of $a B C \cap a^{\prime} B C$ is 2 , and we obtain $\operatorname{rk}\left(a^{\prime-1} a B C \cap B C\right)=2$. But $B C$ has Morley degree 1, hence $a^{\prime-1} a$ belongs to $\operatorname{Stab}(B C)=B$. Thus $a^{\prime-1} a$ belongs to $A \cap B=\{1\}$ (Fact 2.3 (4)), contradicting that $a$ and $a^{\prime}$ are distinct. So we have $\operatorname{rk}(A B C)=3$, as desired.
Corollary 5.8. - Let $A$ and $C$ be two distinct Borel subgroups. Then $\operatorname{rk}(B A \cap$ $B C)=1$.

Proof - We may assume $A \neq B$ and $C \neq B$. By Fact 2.4, we have

$$
1=\operatorname{rk} B \leq \operatorname{rk}(B A \cap B C) \leq \operatorname{rk}(B A)=2
$$

We assume toward a contradiction that $\operatorname{rk}(B A \cap B C)=2$. Since $B C$ has Morley rank 2 and Morley degree 1 (Fact 2.4), the set $E=B C \backslash B A$ has Morley rank at most 1. Consequently, $E A$ has Morley rank at most rk $E+\mathrm{rk} A=2$, and since $(B A \cap B C) A \subseteq B A$ has Morley rank 2, we obtain $\operatorname{rk}(B C A)=\operatorname{rk}(E A \cup(B A \cap$ $B C) A$ ) $=2$, contradicting that $B C A$ has Morley rank 3 (Lemma 5.7).

Lemma 5.9. - For any plane $X$, we have $B X \neq X$ and $X B \neq X$.
Proof - We assume toward a contradiction that $B X=X$ for a plane $X$. Let $x \in X$. Since $X$ is a plane, Proposition 4.4 gives $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(x, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}\right)=1$, so $\mathscr{L}(x, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}$ is infinite. But each line containing $x$ has the form $B^{u} x$ for $u \in G$, hence there exist $u \notin B$ and $v \notin B$ such that $B^{u} \neq B^{v}$, and such that $B^{u} x$ and $B^{v} x$ belong to $\mathscr{L}(x, X) \cap \Lambda_{X}$. In particular, there is a co-finite subset $S$ of $B$ such that $S^{u} x$ and $S^{v} x$ are contained in $X$.

Now, since $B X=X$, the sets $B S^{u} x$ and $B S^{v} x$ are contained in $X$. By Fact 2.4, the set $B B^{u}$, and so $B u^{-1} B$, has Morley rank 2, and since $B u^{-1}(B \backslash S)$ is a finite
union of lines, the set $B u^{-1}(B \backslash S)$ has Morley rank 1 (Lemma 3.6), and $B u^{-1} S$ has Morley rank 2. Thus, the sets $B S^{u} x=B u^{-1} S u x$ and $B S^{v} x=B v^{-1} S v x$ are subsets of $X$ of Morley rank 2, and since the Morley degree of $X$ is 1 , the set $B S^{u} x \cap B S^{v} x$ has Morley rank 2. This implies that $\operatorname{rk}\left(B B^{u} \cap B B^{v}\right)=2$, contradicting Corollary 5.8. Now we have $B X \neq X$ and by the same way, we show that $X B \neq X$.
Corollary 5.10. - For any plane $X$, the stabilizer of $X$ for the action of $G$ on itself by left multiplication is finite.

Proof - By Corollary 5.6, we have $\operatorname{Stab} X=\{a \in G \mid a X=X\}$. If $\operatorname{Stab} X$ is infinite, then it contains a Borel subgroup, contradicting Lemma 5.9.

Proposition 5.11. - Let $X$ be a plane. Then for each plane $Y$, there exist a unique $a \in G$ and a unique $b \in G$ such that $Y=a X=X b$.

Proof - We fix $\alpha \in G$, and we consider the following definable subset of $\Lambda$ :

$$
A=\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda \mid \alpha \in \lambda_{1} \cap \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}\right\}
$$

We show that $A$ has Morley rank 4 and Morley degree 1. Let $U=\{(x, y) \in$ $G \times G \mid y \notin l(x, \alpha)\}$. Then $U$ is a generic definable subset of $G \times G$, and it has Morley rank 6 and Morley degree 1. Let $f: U \rightarrow A$ be the definable surjective map defined by $f(x, y)=(l(x, \alpha), l(y, \alpha))$. Since each line has Morley rank 1 , the preimage of each $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in A$ has Morley rank $\operatorname{rk} \lambda_{1}+\mathrm{rk} \lambda_{2}=2$, and the set $A$ has Morley rank $\operatorname{rk} U-2=4$ and Morley degree 1 (Fact 2.2).

For each plane $P$, we consider the following definable set

$$
A_{P}=\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \Lambda \times \Lambda \mid \alpha \in \lambda_{1} \cap \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}, \exists a \in G, a^{-1} \lambda_{1} \in \Lambda_{P}, a^{-1} \lambda_{2} \in \Lambda_{P}\right\}
$$

We show that the set $A_{X}$ is a generic definable subset of $A$. Indeed, for each $a \in \alpha X^{-1}$, we have $\alpha \in a X$ and $\operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(\alpha) \cap \Lambda_{a X}\right)=1$ by Proposition 4.4, so the definable set

$$
L_{a X}=\left\{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \Lambda_{a X} \times \Lambda_{a X} \mid \alpha \in \lambda_{1} \cap \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}\right\}
$$

has Morley rank $2 \operatorname{rk}\left(\mathscr{L}(\alpha) \cap \Lambda_{a X}\right)=2$. But $\alpha X^{-1}$ has Morley rank rk $X=2$ and it follows from Proposition 5.5 that $L_{a X} \cap L_{b X}=\emptyset$ for any two elements $a$ and $b$ of $\alpha X^{-1}$ such that $a X \neq b X$. Moreover, for each $a \in \alpha X^{-1}$, there are finitely many elements $b \in \alpha X^{-1}$ such that $a X=b X$ (Corollary 5.10). Hence the set $A_{X}=\cup_{a \in \alpha X^{-1}} L_{a X}$ has Morley rank $\operatorname{rk} \alpha X^{-1}+2=4$, and it is a generic definable subset of $A$.

By the same way, $A_{Y}$ is a generic definable subset of $A$, so there exists $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in$ $A_{X} \cap A_{Y}$. Thus there exist two elements $u$ and $v$ of $G$ such that two distinct lines $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ belong to $\Lambda_{u X} \cap \Lambda_{v Y}$, and we obtain $u X=v Y$ by Proposition 5.5, so $Y=a X$ for $a=v^{-1} u$. By the same way, there exists $b \in G$ such that $Y=X b$.

We show the uniqueness of $a$ and $b$. Let $S=\{g \in G \mid g X=X\}$. It is a finite subgroup of $G$ by Corollary 5.10. For each $\alpha \in G$, the previous paragraph gives $\beta \in G$ such that $\alpha X=X \beta$. Then, for each $s \in S$, we have $s(\alpha X)=s(X \beta)=X \beta=$ $\alpha X$, and we obtain $s^{\alpha} X=X$ and $s^{\alpha} \in S$. Thus any element $\alpha \in G$ normalizes the finite subgroup $S$, and since $G$ is a simple group, $S$ is trivial. This proves the uniqueness of $a$, and by the same way we obtain the uniqueness of $b$.

By the previous result, the set of planes is $\mathscr{P}=\{a X \mid a \in G\}$, and it identifies with $G$. Thus, the set of planes is uniformly definable and has Morley rank 3.

Lemma 5.12. - There exists $a \in G$ such that $X^{a} \neq X$.
Proof - We assume toward a contradiction that $X^{a}=X$ for each $a \in G$. Then for each $u B v \in \Lambda_{X}$ and each $a \in G$, we have

$$
(u B v)^{a} \in \Lambda_{X}^{a}=\Lambda_{X^{a}}=\Lambda_{X}
$$

Since $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{X}=2($ Proposition 4.10), the line $u B v$ is a Borel subgroup (Lemma 3.4), and by conjugacy of Borel subgroups, we obtain $\Lambda_{X}=\mathscr{B}$. Now we have $\cup \Lambda_{X}=G$, so rk $\cup \Lambda_{X}=3$, contradicting Lemma 4.3.

From now on, we are ready for the final contradiction. Initially, it was more complicated, but Poizat proposed a simplification by introducing the inverted plane.

Proof - First we note that for each plane $Y$, the set $y^{-1} Y$ is a plane containing 1 , and the set $Y^{-1}$ is a plane too. We fix a plane $X$ containing 1. By Proposition 5.11, there is a bijective map $\mu: G \rightarrow G$ defined by $x X=X \mu(x)$, and $\mu$ is definable since the set $\mathscr{P}$ of planes is uniformly definable. Moreover, for each $(a, b) \in G \times G$, we have $X \mu(a b)=a b X=a X \mu(b)=X \mu(a) \mu(b)$, so $\mu$ is an atomorphism of $G$.

Since $X=\widetilde{X}$ contains 1 , there are infinitely many Borel subgroups in $\Lambda_{X}$. Let $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ be two distinct Borel subgroups belonging to $\Lambda_{X}$. Then $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ belong to $\Lambda_{X^{-1}}$ too, and we have $X=X^{-1}$ by Proposition 5.5. By the same way, since the plane $x^{-1} X$ contains 1 for each $x \in X$, we have $x^{-1} X=\left(x^{-1} X\right)^{-1}=X^{-1} x=X x$ for each $x \in X$. Thus $\mu\left(x^{-1}\right)=x$ for each $x \in X$, and since $X=X^{-1}$, we obtain $\mu^{2}(x)=x$ for each $x \in X$.

But $X$ is a definable subset of $G$ of Morley rank 2 , hence $G$ is generated by $X$, and $\mu$ is an involutive automorphism of $G$. Thus $\mu$ is the identity map by Fact 2.5, contradicting Lemma 5.12.

Remark 5.13. - After Lemma 5.12 we were ready for a new step to provide a structure of projective space over $G$, which was the initial goal of our section. Indeed, in the first version of this paper, we have shown that, if $X$ and $Y$ are two distinct plane, then $\Lambda_{X} \cap \Lambda_{Y}$ has a unique element.
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